## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NEWPORT NEWS DIVISION

APRIL DARRIN, individually and on behalf all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES,

Defendant.

KENNETH D. KEELER, individually and on behalf all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC. d/b/a HII CORPORATE..

Defendant.

CHERYL SOLES, individually and on behalf all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES INC. and HUNTINGTON INGALLS INC., d/b/a HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES,

Defendants.

TYLER N. BEADLE, individually and on behalf all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:23-cv-00053

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR CONSOLIDATION AND APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL

Case No. 4:23-cv-00055

Case No. 4:23-cv-00059

Case No. 4:23-cv-00065

The Court, having considered the parties' filings, the relevant legal authorities and the arguments made at the hearing, **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion as follows:

- 1. The actions April Darrin v. Huntington Ingalls Industries (Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 4:23-cv-53) ("Darrin"), Kenneth D. Keeler v. Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc. d/b/a HII Corporate (Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 4:23-cv-55) ("Keeler"), Cheryl Soles v. Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc. and Huntington Ingalls Inc., d/b/a Huntington Ingalls Industries (Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 4:23-cv-59) ("Soles"), and Tyler N. Beadle v. Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc. (Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 4:23-cv-65) ("Beadle") shall be consolidated under the Darrin case number, with all subsequent filings to be made in the Darrin action;
- 2. Gary Mason of Mason LLP, Scott Edward Cole of Cole & Van Note and Raina Borrelli of Turke & Strauss LLP shall be appointed interim co-lead class counsel for the consolidated cases;
- 3. Plaintiffs shall have 30 days from the entry of this Order to file a superseding consolidated complaint;
- 4. Defendant shall have 30 days after the filing of the superseding consolidated complaint to answer or otherwise respond. Defendant shall have no obligation to respond to any of the complaints in the four related cases that are pending and to be consolidated;
- 5. Should future complaints be filed that meet the requirements for consolidation under Rule 42(a), they will be consolidated with the *Darrin* action.

TT	TC	SO	ORI	DED	ED
		711	U/K	I)r/K	. r, i / .

Dated:			
	By:		
	·	Judge of the District Court	